

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,073	09/17/2003	Edward J. Crawford	F1S920000188US2	5068	
48144 MCGINN INT	7590 07/28/200 ELLECTUAL PROPE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			TRAN,	TRAN, BINH X	
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA	22182-3817	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1792			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/664,073	CRAWFORD, EDWARD J.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Binh X. Tran	1792		

	Binh X. Tran	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following I application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) 🔯 The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1°		ected claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amandment (DTOL -324)			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (102-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) } \(\text{ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\text{ Claim(s) objected to:} \).		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8, 10-16, 34-35, 38-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
	/Dinh V Tran/					

/Binh X Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The deletion of some limitations and addition of new limitations in claims 1, 12, 14 raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. Note: Respect to previous 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph and double patenting rejection, the applicant's argument is related to the new issue which would require further consideration and/or search. Respect to the double patenting rejection, the applicants further state that 'this rejection fails to address claims 38 and 39. Therefore, Applicants submit that, without address all currently pending claims, the rejections improper, since the terminal disclaimer would have to apply to all claims, including those not rejected.' The examiner strongly disagrees with this argument. First, the examiner never state that claims 38-39 are rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting, rejection. In the previous office action, the examiner clearly stated that claims 1-8, 10-16, 34-35 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting. The examiner further stated that claims 1-8, 10-16, 34-35, 38-39 are rejected under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection (i.e. the examiner addressed all pending claims in previous office action). Second, there is no rule that require that the examiner must reject all pending claims under double patenting rejection if the double patenting rejection is prevent in an office action. Thus, the examiner still maintained that the double patenting rejection in previous office action was prover.

/Binh X Tran/